

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/420,877	10/19/1999	ROBERT WESLEY BOSSEMEYER JR.	AIT-0127-PA	3390	
	75	90 10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
ARTZ & ARTZ				TRUONG, TH	TRUONG, THANHNGA B	
	SOUTHFIELD,	APH ROAD SUITE 25 MI 48034	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	,			2135	2135	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/420,877	BOSSEMEYER ET	AL.					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thanhnga Truong	2135						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	*							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: None.								
Claim(s) objected to: None.								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.	•							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·						
10. ☐ Other:								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/420,877

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added language to independent claims 1, 10, 14, dealing with "memory-economizing data pointers and application-specific" would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 276